arXiv:1512.03887v1 [math.AG] 12 Dec 2015

A remark on the decomposition theorem for direct images of canonical sheaves tensorized with semipositive vector bundles

Taro Fujisawa

Tokyo Denki University
e-mail: fujisawa@mail.dendai.ac.jp

December 15, 2015

Abstract

The purpose of this short note is to give a remark on the decomposition theorem for direct images of canonical sheaves tensorized with Nakano semipositive vector bundles. Although our result is a direct consequence of Takegoshi's work in [3], it was not stated explicitly in [3]. Here we give the precise statement and the proof.

The decomposition theorem for direct images of canonical sheaves was proved by J. Kollár [1, Theorem 3.1]. Inspired by the work of S. Matsumura [2], here we note that the decomposition theorem also holds for direct images of canonical sheaves tensorized with Nakano semipositive vector bundles. Although Theorem 1 below is a direct consequence of Takegoshi's results in [3], it was not stated explicitly there. Therefore we give the precise statement of the decomposition theorem and prove it explicitly here. We remark that Theorem 1 below immediately implies the weaker form of the decomposition theorem [3, I Decomposition Theorem] (cf. Corollary 2).

The author would like to thank Osamu Fujino and Shin-ichi Matsumura for their helpful discussion.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a Kähler manifold of pure dimension, Y a complex analytic space and $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ a proper surjective morphism such that all the connected components of X are mapped surjectively to Y. For a Nakano semipositive vector bundle (E, h) on X, we have an isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_q R^q f_*(\omega_X \otimes E)[-q] \simeq Rf_*(\omega_X \otimes E)$$

in the derived category of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F05; Secondary 32J25.
Key words and phrases. decomposition theorem, semipositive vector bundles.

Proof. The sheaf of E-valued C^∞ (p,q)-forms on X is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(E)$. Then we have the Dolbeault quasi-isomorphism

$$\omega_X \otimes E \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_X^{n,\bullet}(E), \overline{\partial}),$$

which is an f_*-acyclic resolution of $\omega_X \otimes E$. Therefore we have an isomorphism

$$Rf_*(\omega_X \otimes E) \simeq (f_*\mathcal{A}_X^{n,\bullet}(E), \overline{\partial})$$

in the derived category of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules.

In the proof of Theorem 6.4 in [3], Takegoshi defines an $\mathcal{O}_Y$-subsheaf $R^0f_*\mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E)$ of $\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\partial} : f_*\mathcal{A}_X^{n,q}(E) \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{A}_X^{n,q+1}(E))$ such that the canonical inclusion

$$R^0f_*\mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\partial} : f_*\mathcal{A}_X^{n,q}(E) \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{A}_X^{n,q+1}(E))$$

induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules

$$R^0f_*\mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E) \xrightarrow{\simeq} R^qf_*(\omega_X \otimes E) \tag{1.1}$$

for every q. The composite of the inclusions

$$R^0f_*\mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}(\overline{\partial} : f_*\mathcal{A}_X^{n,q}(E) \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{A}_X^{n,q+1}(E)) \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{A}_X^{n,q}(E)$$

is denoted by φ^q. Then φ^q defines a morphisms of complexes

$$R^0f_*\mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E)[-q] \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{A}_X^{n,\bullet}(E)$$

for every q. Because we have the isomorphism (1.1) for every q, we obtain a quasi-isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_q R^0f_*\mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E)[-q] \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{A}_X^{n,\bullet}(E)$$

by taking direct sum for all q. Combining with the isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_q R^qf_*(\omega_X \otimes E)[-q] \longleftarrow \bigoplus_q R^0f_*\mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E)[-q],$$

we obtain an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_q R^qf_*(\omega_X \otimes E)[-q] \longleftarrow \bigoplus_q R^0f_*\mathcal{H}^{n,q}(E)[-q], \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{A}_X^{n,\bullet}(E) \simeq Rf_*(\omega_X \otimes E)$$

in the derived category as desired. □

As a corollary of the theorem above, we have the following:

Corollary 2. *In addition to the situation in Theorem 1, let $g : Y \longrightarrow Z$ be any morphism of complex analytic spaces. Then we have*

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=n} R^pg_*R^qf_*(\omega_X \otimes E) \simeq R^n(g \cdot f)_*(\omega_X \otimes E)$$

for every n. In particular, we have

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=n} \mathrm{H}^p(Y, R^qf_*(\omega_X \otimes E)) \simeq \mathrm{H}^n(X, \omega_X \otimes E) \tag{2.1}$$

for every n.

Remark 3. For the case of X being compact, the decomposition (2.1) of the cohomology groups is proved by S. Matsumura [2, Corollary 1.2].

References

[1] J. Kollár, *Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves* II, Ann. of Math. (2) **124** (1986), 171–202.

[2] S. Matsumura, *A vanishing theorem of Kollár-Ohsawa type on compact Kähler manifolds*, arXiv:1511.04222,

[3] K. Takegoshi, *Higher direct images of canonical sheaves tensorized with semi-positive vector bundles by proper Kähler morphisms*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), 389–416.